

## Evaluation Results September 13, 2007

- 1. Was the material presented in a clear manner?
  - > Yes (8)
  - ➤ Yes, when I have questions I always feel comfortable interrupting for clarification.
- 2. Was the length and pace of the meeting all right?
  - > Yes (7)
  - > Ok. Kept on schedule.
  - > Very good.
- 3. What were the weaknesses of the meeting?
  - Good meeting!
  - Not enough time to discuss crucial issues.
  - Still pushed for time for many discussions.
  - None.
- 4. What were the strengths of the meeting?
  - ➤ The meeting with the VR board. Also the diversity of the board is its strength.
  - Dynamics of the group.
  - Meeting with Board of Voc Rehab was informative and good information exchange.
  - ➤ Interactive discussions.
  - ➤ This meeting is always very educational. The feelings of everyone wanting to help support individuals with disabilities is very apparent.
  - The meeting with the VR board was great.
  - Lots of good sharing and interesting content.
  - Sharing thoughts and ideas.

- 5. Are there new topics or issues that you would like us to present to the workgroup?
  - Good to hear success stories every time.
  - > No (2)
  - Already covered at the meeting.
  - ➤ Already discussed at agenda wrap-up.
- 6. To improve future meetings I would:
  - > Have pop in the a.m. with coffee.
  - > The meetings are always a good experience.
- 7. Other:
  - > Great meeting! A good view!
  - Continue to offer CDS course and promote CDS.
  - ➤ Thanks!
  - ➤ As for a CDS task force suggestion goes, I believe each ATC participating has already figured out how to use CDS in their agency. I believe we should only be concerned with incentives for using CDS in PLANS.